

# **trQUALITATIVE ANALYSIS**

## **EHF WOMEN'S EURO 2020 in DENMARK**

**By Peter Kovacs – HUN / EHF MC member**

### **List of contents**

1.	Introduction.....	2
2.	Ranking list and overall performances.....	3
2.1	Comparison of ranking list.....	4
3.	Teams .....	5
4.	Goal difference by results .....	6
5.	Geographical distribution.....	6
6.	Offence .....	6
6.1	Distribution of goals by positions.....	7
6.2	Turnovers .....	9
6.3	Minority, majority and position attack .....	9
6.4	Average scored goals by positions.....	10
6.5	Number of scored goals by the matches .....	10
6.6	Sets, technical and tactical actions in offence .....	11
6.7	Goals and assists .....	12
7.	Defence.....	12
7.1	Blocked shots.....	13
7.2	Punishments .....	13
7.3	Steals.....	13
7.4	Defence systems.....	13
7.5	Goalkeepers performance.....	14
8.	Indicators for success.....	15
9.	Summary.....	16
10.	The character of the best four teams .....	17
11.	All-star team.....	18
12.	Development of player out of the Euro 2018.....	20
13.	Talented young players.....	20

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Women's EURO 2020 was planned to be organised in two countries, in Denmark and Norway.

Unfortunately, because of the pandemic all over the world including Europe, Norway had to resign from the organization of the event due to the strong health rules in the country.

Denmark took over the organization of the complete championship in the venues Herning and Kolding, both hosting preliminary and main round matches. The final matches were played in Herning.

Groups	Herning		Kolding	
	A	B	C	D
	FRA	RUS	NED	ROU
	DEN	SWE	HUN	NOR
	MNE	ESP	CRO	GER
	SLO	CZE	SRB	POL

The playing system was the same as originally planned:

After three matches the last placed team from each group finished the tournament with no further match; In the main round the teams had three more matches against the teams of the parallel group (A+B and C+D).

The first and second ranked teams played the semi-final and final matches and the third positioned teams played for the fifth place. The remaining ranking was made by the EHF, based on the results of the teams.

Due to great coverage on Hungarian television, I could watch two –three matches every match day, so I was able to watch at least one match of each team. This fact gave me the possibility to prepare the qualitative analysis of the EURO.

For the first time in the history of handball, the teams had to play in empty sport halls. Although it was very unusual to follow the matches without spectators and the usually hot atmosphere, I was very happy to see top handball matches in this difficult time at all.

The EHF provided us with new important data as the top scorers, the fastest shots, the fastest sprints, the top number of passes, the longest playing time of each player, the top running distance of the teams as well as daily highlights of the matches.

Matches of the EURO were offered to the TV spectators almost every day.

For the first time in the history of handball only female referees guided the matches.

This event was supposed to be organized after the Olympic Games in Tokyo (planned in 2020) which will hopefully take place in 2021. Usually after the Olympic Games the teams form a new team for the next Olympic period, but due to the postponement of this event a number of players have prolonged their career in their national team.

## 2. RANKING LIST AND THE OVERALL PERFORMANCE

Ranking	Country	Matches played	W	D	L	Av. Goal Diff.	Points	Effic. %
1	Norway	8	8	0	0	32:23	16	100%
2	France	8	6	1	1	27:23	13	81%
3	Croatia	8	6	0	2	24:24	12	75%
4	Denmark	8	5	0	3	26:23	10	63%
5	Russia	7	5	1	1	28:25	11	79%
6	Netherlands	7	3	0	4	28:28	6	43%
7	Germany	6	2	1	3	24:26	5	42%
8	Montenegro	6	2	1	3	25:25	5	42%
9	Spain	6	1	2	3	25:27	4	33%
10	Hungary	6	2	0	4	26:28	4	33%
11	Sweden	6	1	1	4	26:27	3	25%
12	Romania	6	1	0	5	23:27	2	17%
13	Serbia	3	1	0	2	23:29	2	33%
14	Poland	3	0	1	2	22:28	1	17%
15	Czech Republic	3	0	0	3	23:26	0	0%
16	Slovenia	3	0	0	3	22:28	0	0%

NOR won all their matches and has the best goal difference (average +9).

Below the fifth ranked team (RUS) we can find a big gap regarding the team performance with less than 50%.

Just two teams left the tournament with no point: CZE and SLO.

Teams that received a high number of goals could not expect a good ranking.

Teams with the highest number of scored goals - except CRO - finished at the best places.

Under the sixth place - except MNE - the teams had a negative goal difference.

## 2.1. COMPARISON OF RANKING LIST (EURO 2018 and 2020)

Ranking	2018	2020	Difference
1	France	Norway	+4
2	Russia	France	-1
3	Netherlands	Croatia	+13
4	Romania	Denmark	+4
5	Norway	Russia	-3
6	Sweden	Netherlands	-3
7	Hungary	Germany	+3
8	Denmark	Montenegro	+1
9	Montenegro	Spain	+3
10	Germany	Hungary	-3
11	Serbia	Sweden	-5
12	Spain	Romania	-8
13	Slovenia	Serbia	-2
14	Poland	Poland	0
15	Czech Republic	Czech Republic	0
16	Croatia	Slovenia	-3

CRO increased its position by 13, NOR and DEN by 4 places and MNE by 1 place. ROU decreased its rank by 8, SWE by 5, and HUN, RUS and NED by 3 compared to the last EURO in 2018.

The same countries participated in 2018 and 2020.

### 3. TEAMS (AGE OF THE PLAYERS, NUMBER OF INTERNATIONAL MATCHES, NATIONALITY OF THE COACHES)

Country	Home	Abroad	U23	23-30	+30	Av. Age	Av. int. match.	Coach nat.
France	9	7	3	8	5	27	92	FRA
Denmark	11	5	3	12	1	26	52	DEN
Montenegro	6	10	6	9	1	25	45	DEN
Slovenia	9	7	9	4	3	25	39	SLO
Russia	15	1	4	7	5	27	69	ESP
Sweden	6	10	4	11	1	26	66	SWE
Spain	6	10	2	10	4	28	78	ESP
Czech Republic	6	10	8	5	3	25	44	CZE
Netherlands	0	16	5	10	0	26	94	FRA
Hungary	16	0	7	6	3	26	47	HUN
Serbia	15	0	2	8	5	29	72	SRB
Croatia	10	6	5	11	0	25	24	CRO
Romania	15	1	5	8	3	26	34	ROU
Norway	6	10	1	11	4	30	131	ISL
Germany	12	4	4	11	1	26	51	NED
Poland	12	4	3	10	3	25	52	NOR
<b>Average</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>6 - F 10 - D</b>

Each team consists of 16 players: out of these 16 players 10 are playing in their home country and 6 abroad (av.).

All Hungarian and Serbian players play in a club in their home country and only one Russian and one Romanian player plays abroad.

All Dutch players and ten players from MNE, ESP, SWE, CZE, NOR are playing abroad.

Out of 16 9 players are between 23 and 30 years, 4 are younger than 23 and 4 are older than 30.

The average age of the teams is 26.

The average age of the youngest teams (MNE, SLO, CZE, CRO, POL) is 25. The players of the most experienced team, NOR were 30 years old on an average, but generally, there was no big age difference between the teams.

The most international games were played by NOR (av. 131), FRA (av. 92) and NED (av. 94), while less were played by CRO (av. 24) and ROU (av. 34).

In addition to the 10 domestic coaches, 6 foreign coaches worked with the national teams.

The foreign coaches are from FRA, NED, ESP, NOR, ISL and DEN.

#### 4. GOAL DIFFERENCES BY RESULTS

Goals diff.	Preliminary round matches	Main group matches	Final matches	All matches	Percentage
0	3	1	0	4	9%
1-2	5	6	1	12	26%
3-5	8	2	1	11	23%
5-8	1	5	2	8	17%
9-10	4	1	0	5	11%
+10	3	3	1	7	15%
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>100%</b>

47 matches were played in this EURO.

After 24 preliminary round matches, 18 main group and 5 final matches were played.

34% of the preliminary round matches and 39% of the main group matches ended with maximum 2 goals difference.

7 out of 24 preliminary round matches and 4 out of 18 main round matches finished with 8 or more goals difference (26%).

#### 5. GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

North Europe: NOR, DEN, SWE

West Europe: FRA, GER, NED

South Europe: SRB, MNE, ESP,

Middle Europe: HUN, CZE, POL, CRO, SLO

East Europe: RUS, ROU

#### 6. OFFENCE (47 matches)

The teams scored 2.410 goals out of 4.269 shots with a 56% efficiency.

Average: 25 goals/45 attempts/ team/ match.

##### **MOST**

-NOR: 64%, 32

-RUS: 65%, NED 54% 28-28

-FRA: 61%, 27

##### **LEAST**

-SLO: 49%, POL: 54% 22-22

-ROU: 51%, SRB: 56%, CZE: 51% 23-23

From the first six teams five made the best attacks and the last five scored the fewest goals.

## 6.1. DISTRIBUTION OF GOALS BY POSITIONS

**9 METRE SHOTS:** 552 goals/1.201 shots, av. eff: 39%

Av: 12 goals/match, 6 goals/team/match

### **MOST**

-CRO :7, 40%

-SWE: 7, 39%

-RUS: 7, 43%

### **LEAST**

-NOR: 4, 40%

-ESP: 4, 42%

-GER: 5, 34%

**6 METRE CENTRE SHOTS:** 390 goals/553 shots, av. eff: 71%

Av.: 8 goals/match, 4 goals/team/match

### **MOST**

-CRO: 6, 80%

-SWE: 6, 72%

-ROU: 5, 79%

### **LEAST**

-CZE: 1, 57%

-HUN: 2, 57%

-DEN: 3, 61%

**WING SHOTS:** 663 goals/1157 shots, av. eff: 57%

Av.: 14 goals/match, 7 goals/team/match

### **MOST**

-DEN: 9, 66%

-RUS: 9, 65%

-HUN: 8, 57%

### **LEAST**

-GER: 5, 54%

-ROU: 5, 47%

-CRO: 5, 56%

Most goals were scored from the wing position.

**7 METRE SHOTS:** 270 goals/379 shots, av. eff:71%

Av.: 6 goals/match, 3 goals/team/match

**MOST**

-ESP: 5, 54%

-NOR: 4, 80%

**LEAST**

-CRO: 2, 67%

-ROU: 2, 70%

-RUS: 2, 71%

**FAST BREAK:** 280 goals/398 shots, av. eff:70%

Av.: 6 goals/match, 3 goals/ team/match

**MOST**

-NOR: 6, 72%

-FRA: 5, 76%

-NED: 4, 68%

**LEAST**

-CRO :1, 59%

-ROU:2, 50%

-SWE: 1, 82%

**Fast Throw Off:** altogether just 5 goals out of 15 attempts /DEN: 3/3/.

**BREAK THROUGHS:** 250 goals/366 shots, av. eff: 68%

Av.: 5 goals/match, 2,5 goals/team/match

**MOST**

-NOR: 6, 72%

-HUN: 4, 66%

-GER: 4, 61%

**LEAST**

-FRA: 2, 81%

-MNE: 1, 40%

-SWE: 2, 80%

## 6.2. TURNOVER

1.181, av:25/match, 12,5/team/match

### LEAST

-NOR: 9

-DEN: 11

-RUS: 11

### MOST

-ESP: 15

-ROU: 15

-SWE: 14

## 6.3. MINORITY; MAJORITY AND POSITION ATTACK

**MAJORITY ATTACK:** 330 goals, av. eff: 61%

Av.: 7 goals/team/match, 3,5 goals/team/match

### MOST

-NOR: 5, 68%

-FRA: 4, 63%

-SWE: 4, 64%

### LEAST

-ROU: 2.5, 52%

-GER: 3, 75%

-MNE: 3, 61%, HUN: 3, 61%

**MINORITY ATTACK:** 140 goals, av. eff: 38%

Av.: 3 goals/match, 1,5 goals/team/match

### MOST

-GER: 2.5, 39%

-FRA: 2, 50%

-RUS: 2, 48%

### LEAST

-CRO: 1, 34%

-HUN: 1, 26%, ROU: 1, 24%

-NOR: 1, 33%

**POSITION ATTACK:** 2.125 goals, Av. eff: 46%

Av.: 44 goals/team/match, 22 goals/team/match

**MOST**

-NOR: 26, 55%

-RUS: 25, 50%

-NED: 24, 46%

**LEAST**

-ROU: 22, 41%

-GER: 21, 45%

-MNE: 21, 43%

**6.4. AVERAGE SCORED GOALS BY POSITIONS (EURO 2020 and 2018)**

**Number and distribution of the goals:**

	2020		2018	Difference
<b>9 metre shots:</b>	6	24%	22%	+2%
<b>6 metre centre shots:</b>	4	16%	18%	-2%
<b>Wing shots:</b>	7	28%	26%	+2%
<b>7 metre penalties:</b>	3	12%	13%	-1%
<b>Fast breaks:</b>	3	12%	13%	-1%
<b>Breakthroughs:</b>	2	8%	7%	+1%
	25 goals	100%	100%	

No relevant difference found between distribution of the goals by positions in 2018 and 2020.

The most goals were scored from the wing positions and the proportion of fast break goals decreased a little bit.

**6.5. NUMBER OF SCORED GOALS BY MATCHES**

**MOST**

NOR-GER: 42:23 = 65

SRB-HUN: 26:38 = 64

NOR-POL: 35:22 = 57

**LEAST**

ROU- GER: 19:22 = 41

FRA- NOR: 20:22 = 42

CRO- GER: 23:20 = 43

## 6.6. SETS, TACTICAL AND TECHNICAL ACTIONS IN OFFENCE

- Almost every team used the following basic formation: both wingers were at the corners, left and right backcourt players took position close to the side line in order to make bigger space for the line players and the play makers for the two against two actions.
- The teams tried to use simple actions.
- Wing transition with and without ball.
- PM crosses LP and following with different ball movements in order to create various tactical options.
- „YUG” crosses: “empty” crosses between PM and LB or RB, the ball came from the opposite side.
- Empty cross between PM and LB or RB and passed the ball from the wing of the same side.
- RB or LB crosses with the own wing player and the winger crosses the backcourt player on the opposite side.
- PM crosses LW or RW, LB or RB goes parallel to the PM position and gets the ball.
- The attackers made a lot of individual actions, mainly breakthrough attempts.
- Transition from backcourt player’s position to the short or long side of the defenders.
- Each team – except CRO – changed their goalkeeper for a field player temporary during numerical inferiority (5:6 for 6:6).
- 7 teams (FRA, ROU, ESP, SRB, POL, MNE, SWE) used the 7:6 tactic - with more or less success - because of tactical reasons.
- A number of players scored successfully by different variations of basic shots: Oftedal (NOR), L. Kalaus (CRO), Van der Heijden (NED), Zaadi (FRA), Bidstrup (DEN), V. Kristiansen (NOR), Blazevic (CRO), Dimitrieva (RUS).

### NUMERICAL SUPERIORITY (6:5)

In general the teams used the following formations:

- PM crosses LP and the attackers try to create a superior number on one of the two side.
- PM moves with ball to the opposite side from the LP and passes the ball back to LB or RB.
- LB or RB short transition with ball.
- Piston movement from the left side, on the centre position blocked by LP.

## 6.7. GOALS AND ASSISTS

	<b>Name (NAT)</b>	<b>Goals</b>	<b>Assists</b>	<b>Goals + assists</b>	<b>Matches played</b>
1.	Mörk (NOR)	52	25	77	8
2.	Oftedal (NOR)	31	41	72	8
3.	Abbing (NED)	35	24	59	7
4.	Micijevic (CRO)	35	24	59	8
5.	Neagu (ROU)	27	29	56	6
6.	Despotovic (MNE)	25	25	50	6
7.	Pena (ESP)	22	27	49	6
8.	Bidstrup (DEN)	33	14	47	8
9.	Dimitrieva (RUS)	28	18	46	7
10.	L. Kalaus (CRO)	23	21	44	8
11.	Lacrabere (FRA)	31	13	44	8
12.	Reistad (NOR)	29	15	44	8

Among the best 10 scorers are 3 Norwegian players (Mörk, Oftedal, Herrem), who shot altogether 115 goals out of 254 (45%).

Two Croatian players (Micijevic and L. Kalaus) scored 78 goals out of 193 (40%).

Nora Mörk was - after her 10<sup>th</sup> knee operation! - the best attacker of the tournament with 52 goals and 25 assists.

## 7. DEFENCE

The average number of conceived goals is 25.

### LEAST

-NOR: 23

-FRA: 23

-DEN:23

### MOST

-SRB: 29

- NED, HUN, POL, SLO 28-28

There was quite a significant difference between the number of the most and the least received goals.

### 7.1. BLOCKED SHOTS

202, av.:4/match, 2/team/match

#### **MOST**

-NOR: 4

-DEN: 4

#### **LEAST**

-ROU, MNE, ESP 1-1

Not a big difference between the teams.

### 7.2. PUNISHMENTS (2 min. and red cards)

During the championship only four players received a red card (in 2018: 11 players!)

2 min. suspensions: 304, av.:6/match, 3/team/match

#### **LEAST**

-HUN, NOR:2-2

-NOR: 3.5

#### **MOST**

-ESP, SWE: 4-4

### 7.3. STEALS

399, 8/match, 4/team/match (EURO 2018: 2.5/team/match)

#### **MOST**

-FRA: 7

-NED, DEN: 5-5

#### **LEAST**

-SWE: 3

-ROU: 1

### 7.4. DEFENCE SYSTEMS

**The first option of defence system was:**

- **6:0**: SWE, SLO, CZE, GER, NED, RUS, DEN, NOR, HUN, FRA, ROU, ESP, SRB, POL, MNE

- **5:1**: CRO

**The teams changed their system to:**

- 6:0: CRO
- 5:1: SWE, NED, RUS, HUN, FRA, ROU, ESP, SRB, MNE, GER
- 5+1: SWE, HUN, SRB
- 3:2:1: NED, SRB, MNE
- 4:2: CRO, GER, FRA, ROU, ESP
- 3:3: GER

15 teams out of 16 used the 6:0 system as first version.

CRO played 5:1 system during some matches for longer periods.

Some teams changed the system a lot of times, even during one defence phase (FRA, NED, GER, NED).

FRA 55, DEN 39, NED and NOR 34, CRO 33 times regained the ball by steals. A number of teams temporary changed their system from 6:0 for 5:1 in numerical superiority.

**7.5. GOALKEEPER PERFORMANCE**

- **saved shots:** 1.028, eff.:30% (2018: 30%), av.: 22/match, 11/team/match/

**BEST** (2018: 36%)

**LEAST** (2018: 26%)

-NOR, DEN, CZE: 35-35%

MNE, ESP: 26-26%

-FRA: 34%

-CRO, ROU: 31%

**INDIVIDUAL GOALKEEPER PERFORMANCE**

	<b>Name (NAT)</b>	<b>Efficiency</b>	<b>Av. saved balls / match</b>
1.	Solberg (NOR)	41%	10
2.	Darleux (FRA)	38%	6
3.	Lunde (NOR)	38%	8
4.	Zec (SLO)	38%	4
5.	Kudlackova (CZE)	36%	14
6.	Pijevic (CRO)	36%	10
7.	Reihardt (DEN)	35%	3

8.	Toft (DEN)	35%	9
9.	Dedu (ROU)	32%	6
10.	Dumajska (ROU)	32%	6

Six teams performed better than the average (30%).

The first four teams had the best goalkeeper performance.

The last four teams - except CZE- showed a low efficiency.

## 8. INDICATORS FOR SUCCESS

I studied the performance of the best three teams following 15 different (important) handball specific components that perhaps were essential for their success.

- **Most scored goals:** NOR, RUS, NED
- **9m shots:** CRO, RUS, SWE
- **Fast break:** NOR, FRA, NED
- **Break through:** NOR, HUN, GER
- **Wing shots:** DEN, RUS, HUN
- **6m centre shots:** CRO, SWE, ROU
- **Less conceded goals:** NOR, FRA, DEN
- **Steals:** FRA, NED, DEN
- **Blocked shots:** NOR, DEN
- **Position attack:** NOR, RUS, NED
- **Majority attack:** NOR FRA, SWE
- **Best goalkeepers:** NOR, DEN, FRA
- **Most played int. matches:** NOR, FRA, ESP
- **Less turnovers:** NOR, DEN, RUS
- **Best goal difference:** NOR, FRA, RUS

**NOR:** is ranked in 11 (out of 15) categories, always at the first place - an absolutely world class achievement!

**FRA:** is ranked in 7 categories among the best teams and is leading the category “steals”.

**CRO:** ranked twice on the first place in 2 important categories (6m centre shots and 9m shots).

**DEN:** is ranked 6 times among the top teams and leading the category “wing shots”.

## 9. SUMMARY, FINDINGS AND TRENDS

- NOR won the title for the 8<sup>th</sup> time out of 11 European Championships, with an outstanding performance. Their National team did not lose any point, they won all 8 matches!
- FRA saved their place among the top of European women's handball: after the gold medal of the last EURO they won silver.
- CRO was the biggest surprise (similar to that of POR in January 2020). They won the bronze medal due to a great team and goalkeeper performance. It was the first medal in the history of Croatian women's handball!
- CRO increased its ranking by 13 places compared to the EURO 2018, while ROU decreased its ranking by 8.
- The second and the third ranked teams (RUS, NED) of the EURO 2018 played for the fifth place. Both teams arrived at the event missing many of their top players due to different reasons (RUS: Vjahireva, Sen, Mihalichenko, NED: Broch, Groot, Pollman).
- Just two teams, CZE and SLO, left the tournament without any point.
- RUS lost only one game - but it was the most important - against DEN and could not reach the semi-finals. After the lost match the Russian Handball Federation reacted immediately and terminated the contract of the Spanish star coach Ambros Martin, who could therefore not coach the last match of the Russian team!
- Generally, the offence tactics did not show novelties, the teams played similar actions than two years before.
- Almost all teams changed the goalkeeper at numerical inferiority temporarily, in order to balance the number of field players.
- Seven teams changed their goalkeeper for a field player in offence and played 7:6 due to tactical reasons.
- Some players scored successfully by different kind of basic shots, probably because neither goalkeepers, nor the defenders were prepared to interfere them.
- Just very few attempts and goals happened by fast throw off. Maybe the teams wanted to save their energy and power for the following games.
- The outstanding individual performance of the players was the key for achieving success. In case of the first three teams the best individual achievements were experienced – in addition to the good team work.
- 15 teams out of 16 played 6:0 system in defence as a first option, but they often changed it for another one for shorter or longer time.
- NOR scored surprisingly few goals by distance shots, but they scored the most goals by breakthrough and fast break.
- The significance of the goals from the wing positions has increased again: the most goals were scored from this position.
- The most experienced teams - except CRO and ESP - finished at the first six places.
- 34% (16) of the matches finished with maximum 2 goals difference.
- In general the championship was played in a very fair manner with only few punishments given.

- Some teams successfully used the anticipate methods in defence and regained a lot of balls.
- We could see extraordinary goalkeeper performances, especially of the first four ranked teams.
- For the first time only female couples refereed the matches during the championship.
- This was the last time that only 16 teams participated in a EURO. The next EURO will be increased to 24 teams.

## **10. THE CHARACTER OF THE BEST FOUR TEAMS**

### **1<sup>st</sup> NORWAY: Played matches: 8, scored goals: 254, efficiency: 64%**

**THE BEST OF THE BEST!** Fantastic performance, both individually and as a team as well!

They had one, or rather two, world-class players for every position.

They scored just few goals by distance shots, however, it was not necessary, because they achieved the best result by breakthrough and fast break actions.

Outstanding goalkeeper efficiency by Lunde and Solberg.

The most experienced team, three players were elected for the All Star Team.

### **2<sup>nd</sup> FRANCE: Played matches: 8, scored goals: 209, eff: 61%**

Good team activity, which was shown by the scorer list of the team.

Very good goalkeeper and defence performances. They regained the most balls by steals.

FRA was the second most experienced team and had a good mix regarding the age of the players.

The team saved its position among the top teams in Europe!

FRA has many talented players, especially on the backcourt positions which is promising for the future.

### **3<sup>rd</sup> CROATIA: Played matches: 8, scored goals: 197, eff.: 57%**

Historical result for the Croatian Women's Handball: they won their first medal ever!

The team played very disciplined and tactical, both in offence and defence. The great performance of goalkeeper Tea Pijevic enabled CRO to reach this success. I have never seen before a goalkeeper protecting the goal by catching the ball, both with one or two hands, even from 6m shots! Pijevic did that several times!

In addition to the good teamwork the performance of key player Camilla Micijevic (one of the best players of the EURO) was outstanding. She scored a lot of goals, gave a high number of assists and had an important role in defence.

Excellent performances were made by the line players. One of them, Debelic, became member of the All-Star-Team.

#### **4<sup>th</sup> DENMARK: Played matches: 8, scored goals: 209, eff.: 59%**

The DEN team lost the last two matches and finished at the fourth position. I think, the team was a little bit disappointed not winning a medal on home ground.

Sandra Toft was selected as the best goalkeeper of the Championship. The defence was one of the best among all teams.

Their players scored the most goals from the wing positions.

Two backcourt players, A. M. Hansen and M. Bistrup, scored almost one third of all DEN goals.

Fast break actions used to be one of the most successful activities of the DEN team, but in this tournament they were not so efficient at that as they used to be.

### **11. ALL STAR TEAM**

<b>Goalkeeper:</b>	Sandra Toft /DEN/
<b>Left wing:</b>	Camilla Herrem /NOR/
<b>Left back:</b>	Vladena Bobrovnikova /RUS/
<b>Line player:</b>	Ana Debelic /CRO/
<b>Play maker:</b>	Stine Oftedal /NOR/
<b>Right back:</b>	Nora Mörk /NOR/
<b>Right wing:</b>	Jovanka Radicevic /MNE/
<b>Best defender:</b>	Line Haugsted /DEN/
<b>Best scorer:</b>	Nora Mörk /NOR/
<b>Most valuable player:</b>	Estella Nze Minko /FRA/

## **PERFORMANCE OF THE PLAYERS OF THE ALL STAR TEAM**

### **GK: S. TOFT**

Matches played: 8, total playing time: 5:42 hours

Efficiency: 35%, from 9m: 36%, near shots: 36%

Video analysis: <https://youtu.be/LExyENutau0>

### **LW: C. Herrem**

Matches played: 8, total playing time: 5:24

33 goals, eff:70%, fast break: 21 goals, wing: 12 goals

Video analysis: <https://youtu.be/iMzEMXXuwo>

### **LB: V. Bobrovnikova**

Matches played: 7, total playing time: 4:14

21 goals, eff:66%, 9m: 9 goals, wing: 7 goals, 20 assists

Video analysis: <https://youtu.be/KmfYU9uFrEk>

### **LP: A. Debelic**

Matches played: 8, total playing time: 4:45,

23 goals, eff:85%, 6m centre: 23 goals

Video analysis: <https://youtu.be/M5J02cKQ9rA>

### **PM: S. Oftedal**

Matches played: 8, total playing time: 5:20

31 goals, eff: 53%, breakthrough: 18 goals, 9m: 8 goals, 41 assists

Video analysis: <https://youtu.be/-M15d7-Fx6M>

### **RB: N. Mörk**

Matches played: 8, total playing time: 3:16

52 goals, eff: 74%, 7m: 29 goals, breakthrough:6 goals, wing:8 goals, 9m: 6 goals, 25 assists

Video analysis: <https://youtu.be/ME1IcUC8OJA>

### **RW: J. Radicevic**

Matches played: 6, total playing time: 4:46

39 goals, eff: 67%, 7m: 19 goals, wing: 9 goals, fast break: 8

Video analysis: <https://youtu.be/DeItH130j5M>

## **12. DEVELOPMENT OF PLAYERS OUT OF THE WOMEN'S EURO 2018/FRA**

SWE:	A. Lagerquist
NED:	W. Tess, A. Malestein
FRA:	L. Flippes, P. Foppa
GER:	E. Bölk, J. Behnke
HUN:	N. Schatzl, V. Lukács
MNE:	D. Jaukovic
RUS:	A. Vjakhireva, A. Skorobogatchenko
ROU:	D. Dedu, E. Buchewski

From the 20 selected young players 14 had an important role in their National team during the EURO 2020.

## **13. TALENTED YOUNG PLAYERS /U 23/ FOR THE FUTURE**

FRA:	M. Nocandy: 22, CB, O. Sercien-Ugolin: 22, RB
DEN:	K. Jörgensen: 22, LP, M. Hojlund: 23, LB
SLO:	E. Omoregie: 23, PM, T. Stanko: 23, LB
RUS:	V. O. Maslova: 19, RB
SWE:	M. Lundström: 23, RW, K. Thorleifsdottir: 22, LB
ESP:	K. Gassama: 23, LP
NED:	B. van Wetering: 21, LW, D. Housheer: 21, RB, L. Nusser: 20, PM
HUN:	K. Klujber: 21, RB, G. Kácsor: 20, LB, F. Helembai: 23, LP, G. Márton: 21, LW
CRO:	A. Simara: 23, LW
ROU:	S. Seraficeanu: 23, RB, A. Polocoser: 23, LB

NOR: H. Reistad: 21, PM  
GER: J. Maidhof: 22, RB  
POL: N. Nosek: 22, RB, A. Rosiak: 23, LB

Thanks a lot, to everyone who made the organization of this great event possible!